


Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box [ ]

+

PTO/SB/21 (6-99)

Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0031  
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



# TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	10/789,424
Filing Date	FEBRUARY 27, 2002
First Named Inventor	SRINIVASA NAGALLA
Group/Art Unit	1631
Examiner Name	DeJONG, ERIC S.

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

36

Attorney Docket Number

39767-0003

## ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

☒ **FEE TRANSMITTAL FORM**

☐ Fee Attached

☒ **AMENDMENT / RESPONSE**

☐ After Final

☐ Version With Markings Showing Changes

☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)

☒ **EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST  
(3 MONTHS)**

☐ Information Disclosure Statement

☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)

☐ Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application

☐ Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

☐ Copy of Notice

☐ Copy of an Assignment

☐ Drawing(s)

☐ Licensing-related Papers

☐ Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition

☐ Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application

☐ Power of Attorney, by Assignee to Exclusion of Inventor Under 37 C.F.R. §3.71 With Revocation of Prior Powers

☐ Terminal Disclaimer

☐ Small Entity Statement

☐ Request for Refund

☐ After Allowance Communication to Group

☐ Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences

☐ Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)

☐ Proprietary Information

☐ Status Letter

☒ **ADDITIONAL ENCLOSURE(S)  
(PLEASE IDENTIFY BELOW):**

☒ PAPER COPY OF SEQUENCE LISTING;  
DISKETTE OF SEQUENCE LISTING;  
STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.821;  
STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF  
THE INTERVIEW HELD ON  
JUNE 27, 2007 & STAMPED RETURN  
POSTCARD

Remarks

**AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE DEPOSIT ACCOUNT 08-1641 FOR ANY FEES DUE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS PAPER, REFERENCING ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. 39767-0003.**

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY OR AGENT

Firm or  
Individual name

HELLER EHRMAN LLP

PAUL DAVIS (Reg. No. 29,294)

275 Middlefield Road, Menlo Park, California 94025

Telephone: (650) 324-7000

Facsimile: (650) 324-0638

Signature

*Paul Davis*

Date

JULY 2, 2007

Customer Number:

25213

## CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated below and addressed to: **MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on this date: **JULY 2, 2007**

Express Mail Label **EV 582 633 093 US**

Typed or printed name

C. FONG

Signature

*C. Fong*

Date

JULY 2, 2007

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop \_\_\_\_, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	) Examiner: Eric S. DeJong
Srinivasa R. NAGALLA, et al.	) Art Unit: 1631
Application Serial No. 10/789,424	) Confirmation No. 5962
Filed: February 27, 2004	) Attorney's Docket No. 39767-0003
For: <b>METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR</b>	) <b>Customer No. 25213</b>
<b>IDENTIFICATION OF</b>	)
<b>MACROMOLECULES</b>	)

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO: EV 582 633 093 US  
MAILED ON: JULY 2, 2007

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

**MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended during the interview on June 27, 2007. During the telephonic interview, the discussion primarily focused on the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §103. The Examiner expressed a broad interpretation of the technology disclosed in the prior art cited and suggested the Applicants tailor arguments to very specific aspects of the instant invention that make the invention unobvious over the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

  
Paul Davis (Reg. No. 29,294)

Dated: July 2, 2007

**HELLER EHRMAN LLP**  
275 Middlefield Road  
Menlo Park, California 94025  
Direct Dial: (650) 324-6951  
Telephone: (650) 324-7000  
Facsimile: (650) 324-6654  
SV 2286601 v2  
7/2/07 1:36 PM (39767.0003)